From: Stoick, Paul T CIV USN (USA) [/o=Organization/ou=First Administrative

Group/cn=Recipients/cn=paul.stoick]

Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2019 2:33 PM

To: Larson, Leo M CTR (USA) [leo.m.larson.ctr@navy.mil]; 'Kemper, Tim'

[tim.kemper@aptim.com]

CC: Liscio, Matthew P CIV USN NAVSEA DET RASO VA (USA)

[matthew.liscio@navy.mil]; Ng, Shirley S CIV USN NAVFAC SW SAN CA (US)

[shirley.ng@navy.mil]

Subject: RE: Submittal 001/FCR's

Attachments: Submittal 001-Stanford Pile Import Fill (PS).pdf

Tim/Leo,

Please see attached signed submittal to allow for the schedule to proceed with backfilling. Leo – please sent a courtesy copy of the import backfill sampling results to BCT (DTSC/EPA), as they have requested in the past.

V/r,
Paul Stoick, P.E.
Environmental Engineer
Lead Remedial Project Manager - Hunters Point

10 619-524-6041 | paul.stoick@navy.mil

NAVFAC Southwest - Navy BRAC PMO West 33000 Nixie Way Bldg 50, 2nd Floor San Diego, CA 92147

https://bracpmo.navy.mil/ | http://www.navfac.navy.mil/go/erb

From: Kemper, Tim <tim.kemper@aptim.com> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2019 12:47 PM

To: Larson, Leo M CTR (USA) <leo.m.larson.ctr@navy.mil>; Stoick, Paul T CIV USN (USA)

<paul.stoick@navy.mil>

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Submittal 001/FCR's

Leo and Paul -

As discussed, if FCRs and Submittals will now require BCT concurrence, this is a change to the accepted process previously used at HPNS that will have additional cost and schedule impacts to the project. In this case, Submittal 001 for import soil material meets the requirements established in the Final RAWP (i.e. there are no exceedances of the chemical or radiological comparison criteria), so we are surprised that BCT concurrence is being requested. The Navy's submittal form (attached) does not have a place for BCT approvals, and we were unaware of this new requirement. Given the time sensitive nature of the

planned backfilling work, we would appreciate Navy approval of Submittal 001 as soon as possible to support our project schedule.

Given the rain events that are in the forecast, and for safety and waste minimization reasons, APTIM plans to proceed with backfilling the open Parcel E excavations using the subject import fill material starting on or around Monday, 12/23/2019.

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss further.

V/r,

Tim

## TIM KEMPER, PE

**Project Manager** 

**APTIM** | Government Strategic Business Unit

0 619 446 4536



E tim.kemper@aptim.com



1230 Columbia Street, Suite 600 San Diego, CA 92101

## APTIM.com

From: Larson, Leo M CTR (USA) <leo.m.larson.ctr@navy.mil>

**Sent:** Thursday, December 19, 2019 11:13 AM **To:** Kemper, Tim <tim.kemper@aptim.com>

Cc: Stoick, Paul T CIV USN (USA) <paul.stoick@navy.mil>

Subject: Submittal 001/FCR's

Tim,

Submittal 001 requires BCT concurrence. Given the holiday, It's likely that we won't have a response until the new year. In the future, please expect the same review process.

We will have signed FCR 002 over to you today.

V/r,

Leo Larson
Environmental Engineering Support II
Contracted Technical Support
Navy BRAC PMO West
33000 Nixie Way, Bldg 50, 2nd Floor
San Diego, California 92147
O: (619) 524 5853

SUBMITTAL TRANSMITTAL			VITY ID Ous	DATE 12/1	DATE 12/17/2019	
CONTRACT NO	CONTRACT TITLE			SUBMI NO	SUBMI NO 001	
N62473-12-D-2005, CTO 0024 Parcel E Remedial Action - Phase 1, Hunters Point			* *			
SUBM ITEM DESCRIPTION Imported Fill Material, Chemical Assessr	ment:		SUBMITTAL PRIORITY? PREPARED BY CQC MGR?	HIGH	NORMAL	
Stanford Pile			SCHEDULE REFERENCED?	YES YES	○ NO ● NO	
			CRITICAL PATH?	YES	● NO	
SPEC SECTION	SPEC PARAGRAPH			SPEC PAGE NO		
SAP Section 17.3	NA			Pages 37-38	3	
CQC REMARKS:				•		
APTIM has located, sampled, and character California. The attached Table 1 - Data S 23,000cy of material.  Per Section 17.3 of Appendix A (Samplir Francisco, CA, dated September 2019 (A E Phase 1 screening criteria or Remediat Table 1. There are no exceedances of the The analytical results demonstrate that	ummary includes the chemical and ra ng and Analysis Plan) of the Final Remo APTIM), the material was sampled and cion Goals. Analytical results were cor e chemical or radiological comparison	ediological results. The mat edial Action Work Plan, Pai I analyzed for chemical con mpared to the criteria listed n criteria.	erial was sampled by APT rcel E Remedial Action - PI stituents and site ROCs to	ilM on 11-Nov-2019 hase 1 Hunters Poin verify that it does r	and represents t Naval Shipyard, San not exceed HPNS Parcel	
	CONTRA	CTOR/QUALITY CONTROL MANAGE	R DATE	E		
APPROVAL AND COMMENTS						
COMMENTS FOR DETAILED REVIEWER:						
DETAILED REVIEWER 1 COMMENTS:						
DETAILED REVIEWER 1 NAME/SIGNATURE	TITLE			RESPONSE DATE		
DETAILED REVIEWER 2 COMMENTS:						
DETAILED REVIEWER 2 NAME/SIGNATURE	TITLE			RESPONSE DATE		
APPROVER'S COMMENTS:	L L					
SUBM STATUS		GOVERNMENT CONSTRUCTION	MANAGER	DATE		

4296/2C (9/98) SHEET of